IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re Entresto (Sacubitril/Valsartan) Patent Litigation) C.A. No. 20-2930-RGA
NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION,)))
Plaintiff,)
V.)
MSN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., MSN LABORATORIES PRIVATE LIMITED, MSN LIFE SCIENCES PRIVATE LIMITED, NANJING NORATECH PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LIMITED, Defendants.) C.A. No. 22-1395-RGA))))

LETTER TO THE HONORABLE RICHARD G. ANDREWS ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S NOVEMBER 8, 2024 MEMORANDUM ORDER

Dear Judge Andrews,

Defendants provide this information in response to the Court's November 8, 2024 Memorandum Order responding to Novartis's argument that Dr. McCreery "is not a POSA because he does not have 'two or more years of experience with solid forms of pharmaceutical compounds." (D.I. 1625, at 6 n.6.) Dr. McCreery clearly possesses the requisite training and experience.

Novartis misquotes Defendants' POSA definition and omits key language. (D.I. 1557, at 4.) Dr. McCreery is a POSA because he has "(1) a Ph.D. in chemistry...; and (2) two or more years of experience with solid forms of pharmaceutical compounds, such as synthesizing, crystallizing, and characterizing solid forms of molecular pharmaceutical compounds, including experience in small-molecule characterization techniques (e.g.,..., Raman, etc.)." (D.I. 1558-1, Ex. 3 at ¶ 22 (Defendants' full definition of a POSA) (emphasis added).)

Dr. McCreery is a Ph.D. in Analytical Chemistry from the University of Kansas, and taught chemistry for over 50 years at Ohio State University and University of Alberta. He has far more than two years of experience with solid forms of pharmaceutical compounds that includes synthesizing, crystallizing, and characterizing such compounds, including decades of experience in the small-molecule characterization technique at issue in this case-Raman spectroscopy. (Ex. A at ¶ 9-11, 101.) Dr. McCreery stated that he "exceed[s] that level of education and experience, did so in 2005-2006 and was working in the relevant field before, during, and after 2005-2006 along with others who generally fit the foregoing description of a POSA." (Id. at ¶ 22.) At his deposition, Dr. McCreery testified that his "original dozen papers were about chlorpromazine, which is the active ingredient in Thorazine," published "several early papers in the Journal of Medicinal Chemistry," and that "[p]robably the *first ten years* of [his] career was mainly on pharmaceutical materials, because [his] Ph.D. involved neurosciences, and [he] was interested in, particularly, heterocyclic antidepressants and antipsychotics." (Ex. B at 20:10-21:4; id. at 22:8-23:9.) He further testified that he conducted a study of over 300 pharmaceutical compounds involving Raman spectroscopy at the behest of the FDA drug lab, which involved "several months of lab work" and "established that Raman was very good for distinguishing different white solids...inside brown vials." (Id. at 24:10-27:17; Ex. C.) Additionally, the seminal textbook authored by Dr. McCreery, "Raman Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis," discusses numerous pharmaceutical applications. (Ex. D at 86, 90, 102, 112-113, 293, 302-304, 323-326, 355-364.)

The evidence cited by Novartis is scarce. Novartis states that Dr. McCreery has not studied any supramolecular complexes-not required of a POSA here; and that he did not identify pharmaceuticals as one of his research interests-an argument that is easily contradicted by his testimony, as discussed above. (D.I. 1557, at 4.) Based on his expertise in Raman spectroscopy, he concludes that Dr. Park's data shows that "neither Raman spectroscopy nor Raman imaging can be used to show the presence of an amorphous TVS." (D.I. 1558-1, Ex. 6 at ¶ 123.) Your Honor correctly notes that Dr. McCreery is not offering an opinion on non-infringement and, therefore, does not require the "perspective of a skilled artisan" from the "vantage point of an ordinarily skilled artisan." Kyocera Senco Indus. Tools Inc., v. ITC, 22 F.4th 1369, 1376-77 (Fed Cir. 2022). He is criticizing Dr. Park's work-who is not offering any opinion on infringement. While Dr. McCreery meets the definition of a POSA, his opinion is also highly relevant because it is largely focused on Raman spectroscopy and instrumentation—an area in which he possesses specialized expertise (and Dr. Park does not) (D.I. 1558-1, Ex. 6 at ¶ 20 (defendants' POSA definition: "a POSA may have consulted with individuals having specialized expertise, for example...")), and basic knowledge of chemistry expected of every chemist, whether a POSA or not.

HEYMAN ENERIO GATTUSO & HIRZEL LLP

STAMOULIS & WEINBLATT LLC

/s/ Dominick T. Gattuso

Dominick T. Gattuso (# 3630) Denise S. Kraft (# 2778) 300 Delaware Ave., Suite 200 Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 472-7300 dgattuso@hegh.law dkraft@hegh.law

Don J. Mizerk Matthew M. Kamps HUSCH BLACKWELL LLP 120 South Riverside Plaza, Suite 2200 Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 655-1500 don.mizerk@huschblackwell.com matt.kamps@huschblackwell.com

Thomas P. Heneghan HUSCH BLACKWELL LLP 33 East Main Street, Suite 300 Madison, WI 53703 (606) 234-6032 tom.heneghan@huschblackwell.com

Attorneys for Defendant Nanjing Noratech Pharmaceutical Co., Limited

Dated: November 13, 2024

/s/ Richard C. Weinblatt Stamatios Stamoulis (# 4606) Richard C. Weinblatt (# 5080) 800 N. West Street, Third Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 999-1540 stamoulis@swdelaw.com weinblatt@swdelaw.com

Ronald M. Daignault Richard Juang DAIGNAULT IYER LLP 8618 Westwood Center Drive, Suite 150 Vienna, VA 22182 rdaignault@daignaultiyer.com rjuang@daignaultiyer.com

Attorneys for Defendants MSN Pharmaceuticals Inc., MSN Laboratories Private Limited, and MSN Life Sciences Private Limited